

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/857,865

Applicant(s)

KITAYAMA ET AL.

Examiner

Cephia D. Toomer

Art Unit

1714

All Participants:(1) Cephia D. Toomer.(2) Frederick Vastine.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 March 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

13

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Vastine that there did not appear to be support in the specification for the broad recitation of antioxidants, permanent static electrification resistance agents, UV absorbents and light stabilizers. Mr. Vastine agreed to limit these additives to those recited in the specification..